1	KEVIN V. RYAN (CSBN 118321) United States Attorney
<b>2</b> 3	EUMI L. CHOI (WVBN 0722) Chief, Criminal Division
<b>4</b> <b>5</b>	RICHARD J. CUTLER (CSN 146180) Assistant United States Attorney
6 7	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102-3495 Telephone: (415) 436-6867 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234
8 9	Attorneys for Plaintiff
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
13	
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) No. CR. 05-00167 WHA (EMC)
15	Plaintiff ) DETENTION ORDER
16	V. DETENTION ORDER
17	ALLEN CALLOWAY, {
18	Defendant.
19	)
20	On November 30, 2005, the Court held a detention hearing and received evidence in the
21	form of the United States's proffer, the Defendant's proffer, and a report by United States Pretrial
22	Services.
23	Having considered the parties' proffers, the Pretrial Services Report, and the files and
24	records in this matter, the Court finds by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant is a risk
25	of flight and by clear and convincing evidence that Defendant presents a danger to the community
26	that cannot be mitigated by available conditions and the Court hereby <b>ORDERS</b> him detained. In
27	so holding, the Court has considered the following factors:
28	

- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27 v
- 28

- 1. On October 27, 2005, a federal grand jury returned a multiple count indictment charging
- Defendant Allen Calloway with, inter alia, a violation of 21 U.S.C. § 846 (Conspiracy to
- Distribute and to Possess With Intent To Distribute Cocaine Base, Marijuana, and Ecstasy)
- (Count One). Because the maximum penalty for this violation is a term of ten years or more
- under the Controlled Substances Act, there is a rebuttable presumption that "no conditions or
- combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the person and safety of the
- community." 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e).
- 2. The Defendant is subject to a mandatory minimum of ten years imprisonment for Count
- One of the indictment. Because he faces a potential life sentence and a mandatory prison term of
- at least ten years, he has an incentive to flee.
- 3. The government also proffered that Defendant is an admitted member of a violent criminal
- street gang known as "Down Below Gangsters" (DBG). The indictment charges other members
- of DBG with a conspiracy to kill witnesses. Although there is no evidence of direct involvement
  - in any such violent activity by Defendant, the admitted association with DBG raises a significant
    - risk to community safety, particularly when coupled with Defendant's criminal history
    - establishing continued involvement with guns.
    - 4. The government also proffered evidence that on a prior state offense for weapons
    - possession, an arrest warrant had been issued for Defendant and Defendant's mother was
    - informed of this fact. Despite that, according to the government, Defendant did not turn himself
    - in and was arrested as a fugitive months later at which time he told the police officers, in sum and
    - substance, that he had lost twenty pounds running from them.
      - 5. While the Defendant does have family in the area and his mother stated that she would be
    - Defendant's custodian and would be willing to sign a bond guaranteeing Defendant's appearance,
    - the Court was concerned with the information in the government's proffer, detailed above in
    - paragraph number 4, that Defendant's mother, on a prior occasion, was aware of a warrant for
  - defendant's arrest and she did not effectuate a voluntary surrender by Defendant. Therefore,
  - while Defendant's mother has offered to sign an unsecured bond, given the risks discussed above,
  - the Court does not find the proposal adequate. It does not appear that she has the ability to

control Defendant's conduct.

- 6. As noted above, the Court is concerned with Defendant's criminal history. Specifically, there is an escalating series of serious arrests and convictions in 2002, 2003, and 2004, all of which involved weapons possessions, the last two of which resulted in felony convictions. Those two convictions occurred while Defendant was on probation for the first gun offense. He violated his parole in February, 2005.
- 7. To be sure, Defendant did not resist arrest and was not found with any weapons or drugs, and Defendant proffers he is not an active participant in DBG. Nonetheless, given his record, his prior unresponsiveness to a prior warrant, and the lack of appropriate custodian and sureties, the Court finds by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant poses a serious risk of flight and by clear and convincing evidence a danger to the community, and that no currently available condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of defendant as required or ensure the safety of the community if he were released.

If other adequate conditions mitigating these risks addressing the concerns expressed herein can be fashioned, Defendant may seek reconsideration.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant shall remain in the custody of the United States Marshal Service until the completion of these criminal proceedings.

IT IS SO ORDERED

DATED: December 7, 2005

EDWARD M. CHEN
United States Magistrate Judge